

A CHEVALLEY FORMULA IN EQUIVARIANT K -THEORY

MATTHIEU WILLEMS

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries and notation	2
2.1. Root system	2
2.2. Flag varieties	3
2.3. The monoid $\underline{W}$	3
3. Bott-Samelson varieties	3
3.1. Definition	4
3.2. Cell decomposition	4
3.3. Fibrations of Bott-Samelson varieties	5
3.4. Line bundles	5
4. Equivariant K -theory	5
5. K -theory of Bott-Samelson varieties	6
5.1. A basis of the K -theory of Bott-Samelson varieties	6
5.2. Restrictions to fixed points	6
5.3. Decomposition of line bundles	7
6. Equivariant K -theory of flag varieties	10
6.1. Definitions	10
6.2. Link with Bott-Samelson varieties	10
6.3. A Chevalley formula	11
7. Ordinary K -theory	12
References	12

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to give a recursive formula to compute the product of a line bundle with the structure sheaf of a Schubert variety in the equivariant K -theory of a flag variety.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a complex semi-simple connected group, $B \subset G$ a Borel subgroup of G , and $H \subset B$ a maximal torus of B . We denote by $R[H]$ the ring of representations of H and $X = G/B$ the flag variety of G . The H -equivariant K -theory $K(H, X)$ of X has a $R[H]$ -basis $[\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_w}]^H$ indexed by $W = N_G(H)/H$ the Weyl group of G , where $[\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_w}]^H$ is the class of the structure sheaf of the Schubert variety $\overline{X}_w$. The Schubert variety $\overline{X}_w \subset X$ is the closure of the B -orbit of $w \in W$. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Lie algebra of H , we denote by $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^* \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ the weight lattice which is identified canonically with the group of characters of H . Then for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^X$ the canonical line bundle over X . The torus H acts on $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^X$ and it defines a class $[\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^X]^H$ in $K(H, X)$. In fact, $K(H, X)$ is generated by these line bundles and then we get a presentation by generators and relations of $K(H, X)$. If we want to understand the link between the basis $\{[\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_w}]^H\}_{w \in W}$ and this presentation, it

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19L47, 14M15 .

Key words and phrases. equivariant K -theory, flag varieties.

is interesting to find a "Giambelli formula" which expresses $[\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_w}]^H$ in terms of $\{[\mathcal{L}_\lambda^X]^H\}_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Z}^*}$ and a "Chevalley formula", i.e. to find the coefficients $q_{w,v}^\lambda \in R[H]$ satisfying :

$$[\mathcal{L}_\lambda^X]^H [\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_w}]^H = \sum_{v \in W} q_{w,v}^\lambda [\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_v}]^H.$$

Such a formula has been known for a long time in cohomology (see [1] in ordinary cohomology and [7] in equivariant cohomology). In [11] Pittie and Ram give a Chevalley formula in ordinary K -theory for a dominant weight λ by using L-S paths. Littelmann and Seshadri generalize this formula to H -equivariant K -theory in [9]. Such a formula was first given in the case $G = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ by Fulton and Lascoux in [6] by using "tableaux" of shape λ . The aim of this paper is to find a practical algorithm to compute these coefficients $q_{w,v}^\lambda$. Our formula is valid for all weights (even for non dominant weights).

We follow the same method as in [12] to find restrictions to fixed points in equivariant cohomology and K -theory. First we describe a $R[H]$ -basis of the H -equivariant K -theory of a Bott-Samelson variety Γ and we decompose the class of a line bundle $[\mathcal{L}_\lambda^\Gamma]^H$ in this basis. To find this formula, we use the structure of iterated fibrations with fiber $\mathbb{C}P^1$ of Bott-Samelson varieties. Then we use the standard map $g : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ to deduce a Chevalley formula in $K(H, X)$. In [4] and [5] Haibao Duan also used Bott-Samelson varieties to find formulas in Schubert calculus and we used this idea in [13] and [14] to find similar formulas in the equivariant setting. In these two papers we study Bott towers i.e. all varieties which have a structure of iterated fibrations with fiber $\mathbb{C}P^1$.

In Section 2 we recall basic definitions on semi-simple groups and their flag varieties.

In Section 3, we recall the definition of the Bott-Samelson variety associated to a sequence of simple roots and we define a cell decomposition of this variety. For more details on this section, see [8] and [13].

In Section 4, we recall the definition of the H -equivariant K -theory of an algebraic H -variety and we introduce the notion of restriction to fixed points which will be the main tool of our proofs.

In Section 5, we construct a $R[H]$ -basis of the H -equivariant K -theory of a Bott-Samelson variety Γ and for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Z}^*$, we decompose the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^\Gamma$ in this basis (Theorem 2).

In Section 6, if $g : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ is the standard map from a Bott-Samelson variety Γ to the flag variety X , we describe the morphism g_* induced in K -theory (Theorem 3) and we deduce from this result the main theorem of this paper (Theorem 4) which gives a Chevalley formula in equivariant K -theory.

In Section 7, we restrict our calculations to ordinary K -theory (Theorem 5).

I am very grateful to Michel Brion for suggesting me using my results to find a Chevalley formula in equivariant K -theory.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

2.1. Root system. Let G be a connected and simply connected complex semi-simple group of rank r . We denote by e the neutral element of G . Let $B \subset G$ be a Borel subgroup of G and $H \subset B$ the Cartan subgroup of B . We denote by $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebras of H , B and G .

We choose a system of simple roots $\pi = \{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ and simple coroots $\pi^\vee = \{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{1 \leq i \leq r} \subset \mathfrak{h}$, such that

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}),$$

where for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ such that } [h, x] = \lambda(h)x, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$, and where we define Δ_+ by $\Delta_+ = \{\alpha \in \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{N}\alpha_i \text{ such that } \alpha \neq 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0\}$. We set $\Delta = \Delta_+ \cup \Delta_-$ where $\Delta_- = -\Delta_+$. We call Δ_+ (respectively Δ_-) the set of positive roots (respectively negative).

We associate to $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ the Weyl group $W \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ generated by the simple reflections $\{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ defined by

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*, \quad s_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee)\alpha_i.$$

By dualizing, we get an action of W on $\mathfrak{h}$.

If we denote by S the set of simple reflections, the couple (W, S) is a Coxeter system. Thus we have a notion of Bruhat order denoted by $u \leq v$ and a notion of length denoted by $l(w) \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by 1 the neutral element of W .

We have $\Delta = W\pi$, and for $\beta = w\alpha_i \in \Delta^+$, we set $s_\beta = ws_iw^{-1} \in W$ (which does not depend on the couple (w, α_i) satisfying $\beta = w\alpha_i$), and $\beta^\vee = w\alpha_i^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$.

We define the fundamental weights $\rho_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) by

$$\rho_i(\alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{i,j}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq r,$$

and the weight lattice $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Z}^*$ by

$$\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Z}^* = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathbb{Z}\rho_i \subset \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

2.2. Flag varieties. Let $N_G(H)$ be the normalizer of H in G , the quotient group $N_G(H)/H$ can be identified to W . We set $X = G/B$. It is a flag variety. The group G acts on X by multiplication on the left. This action yields an action of B and H on X . The set of fixed points of this action of H on X can be identified to W . For $w \in W$, we define $C(w) = B \cup BwB$ and for all simple roots α , we define the subgroup P_α of G by $P_\alpha = C(s_\alpha)$. We have the Bruhat decomposition of $G = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} BwB$ and if we define $X_w = BwB/B$, then $X = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} X_w$. For all $w \in W$, the Schubert cell X_w is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{l(w)}$. Thus we get an H -equivariant cell decomposition of X where all cells have even real dimension.

For all $w \in W$, the Schubert variety $\overline{X}_w$ is the closure of the cell X_w . It is an irreducible H -equivariant subvariety of X of complex dimension $l(w)$. In general Schubert varieties are not smooth. For all $w \in W$, we have the decomposition

$$\overline{X}_w = \bigsqcup_{w' \leq w} X_{w'}.$$

2.3. The monoid $\underline{W}$. We define the monoid $\underline{W}$ as the monoid generated by the elements $\{\underline{s}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ with the relations $\underline{s}_i^2 = \underline{s}_i$ and the braid relations of W :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \underline{s}_i^2 = \underline{s}_i \\ \underbrace{\underline{s}_i \underline{s}_j \cdots}_{m_{i,j} \text{ terms}} = \underbrace{\underline{s}_j \underline{s}_i \cdots}_{m_{i,j} \text{ terms}} \quad \text{if } m_{i,j} < \infty, \end{array} \right.$$

where $m_{i,j}$ is the order of $s_i s_j$ in W .

We denote by $T : W \rightarrow \underline{W}$ the bijection defined by $T(w) = \underline{s}_{i_1} \cdots \underline{s}_{i_l}$ if $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$ is a reduced decomposition of w (i.e. $l = l(w)$).

3. BOTT-SAMELSON VARIETIES

Let $N \geq 1$ be a positive integer. We use the notation of Section 2.

3.1. Definition. Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N$ be a sequence of N simple roots (repetitions may occur). We define

$$\Gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) = P_{\mu_1} \times_B P_{\mu_2} \times_B \cdots \times_B P_{\mu_N} / B,$$

as the space of orbits of B^N acting on $P_{\mu_1} \times P_{\mu_2} \times \cdots \times P_{\mu_N}$ by

$$(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N)(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N) = (g_1 b_1, b_1^{-1} g_2 b_2, \dots, b_{N-1}^{-1} g_N b_N), \quad b_i \in B, \quad g_i \in P_{\mu_i}.$$

It is an irreducible complex projective variety of dimension N . We denote by $[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N]$ the class of $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N)$ in $\Gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N)$ and by $g_{\mu_i} \in P_{\mu_i}$ a representative of the reflection of $N_{P_{\mu_i}}(H)/H \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

We define a left action of B on $\Gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N)$ by

$$b[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N] = [bg_1, g_2, \dots, g_N], \quad b \in B, \quad g_i \in P_{\mu_i}.$$

By restricting this action to H , we get an action of H on $\Gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N)$.

In the following two sections we denote $\Gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N)$ by Γ .

3.2. Cell decomposition. For $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^N$, we denote by $\Gamma_\epsilon \subset \Gamma$ the set of classes $[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N]$ satisfying for all integers $1 \leq i \leq N$

$$g_i \in B \text{ if } \epsilon_i = 0, \quad g_i \notin B \text{ if } \epsilon_i = 1.$$

For $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_N) \in \{0, 1\}^N$, we denote by $l(\epsilon)$ the cardinal of $\{1 \leq i \leq N, \epsilon_i = 1\}$. It is called the length of ϵ . We define a partial order on $\{0, 1\}^N$ by

$$\epsilon \leq \epsilon' \Leftrightarrow (\forall 1 \leq i \leq N, \epsilon_i = 1 \Rightarrow \epsilon'_i = 1).$$

The following proposition is obvious.

Proposition 1.

- (i) For all $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^N$, Γ_ϵ is a complex affine space of dimension $l(\epsilon)$ which is invariant under the action of B , and this action induces a linear action of the torus H on Γ_ϵ .
- (ii) For all $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^N$, $\bar{\Gamma}_\epsilon = \coprod_{\epsilon' \leq \epsilon} \Gamma_{\epsilon'}$.
- (iii) $\Gamma = \coprod_{\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^N} \Gamma_\epsilon$.
- (iv) For all $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^N$, $\bar{\Gamma}_\epsilon$ can be identified with the Bott-Samelson variety $\Gamma(\mu_i, \epsilon_i = 1)$ and is an irreducible smooth subvariety of Γ .

For $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^N$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$, we define

$$v_i(\epsilon) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq i, \\ \epsilon_j = 1}} s_{\mu_j} \in W,$$

where, by convention, $\prod_\emptyset = 1$. We set $v(\epsilon) = v_N(\epsilon) \in W$.

Moreover we define the root $\alpha_i(\epsilon) \in \Delta$ by

$$\alpha_i(\epsilon) = v_i(\epsilon) \mu_i.$$

Let Γ^H be the set of fixed points of the action of H on Γ , we can identify Γ^H with $\{0, 1\}^N$ thanks to the following lemma.

Lemma 1.

- (i) $\Gamma^H \simeq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} N_{P_{\mu_i}}(H)/H \simeq \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} \{e, g_{\mu_i}\} \simeq \{0, 1\}^N$, where we identify e with 0 and g_{μ_i} with 1.
- (ii) For all $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^N$, Γ_ϵ is the B -orbit of $\epsilon \in \Gamma^H$.

(iii) For $(\epsilon, \epsilon') \in (\{0, 1\}^N)^2$

$$\epsilon \in \overline{\Gamma}_{\epsilon'} \Leftrightarrow \epsilon \leq \epsilon',$$

and if we denote by $T_{\epsilon'}^{\epsilon}$ the tangent space to $\overline{\Gamma}_{\epsilon'}$ at ϵ , then the weights of the representation of H in $T_{\epsilon'}^{\epsilon}$ are $\{-\alpha_i(\epsilon)\}_{i, \epsilon'_i=1}$.

3.3. Fibrations of Bott-Samelson varieties. For all $2 \leq k \leq N$, let denote by $\pi_k : \Gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{k-1})$ the projection defined by

$$\pi_k([g_1, \dots, g_k]) = [g_1, \dots, g_{k-1}].$$

If we denote by $\pi_1 : \Gamma(\mu_1) \rightarrow \{\text{point}\}$ the trivial projection, we get the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N) \\ \downarrow \pi_N \\ \Gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{N-1}) \\ \downarrow \pi_{N-1} \\ \vdots \\ \downarrow \pi_3 \\ \Gamma(\mu_1, \mu_2) \\ \downarrow \pi_2 \\ \Gamma(\mu_1) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1 \\ \downarrow \pi_1 \\ \{\text{point}\} \end{array}$$

where each projection π_k is a fibration with fiber $\mathbb{C}P^1$ (see [13] for more details).

3.4. Line bundles. We denote by $X(H)$ the group of characters of H . For all integral weights $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$, we denote by $e^{\lambda} : H \rightarrow S^1$ the corresponding character. This way we get an isomorphism between the additive group $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$ and $X(H)$.

Since $H \simeq B/U$, where U is the unipotent radical of B , we can extend to B all characters $e^{\lambda} \in X(H)$ (in fact $X(H) \simeq X(B)$). Then for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\Gamma}$ the B -equivariant line bundle over Γ defined as the space of orbits of B^N acting on $P_{\mu_1} \times P_{\mu_2} \times \dots \times P_{\mu_N} \times \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N, v)(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N) = (g_1 b_1, b_1^{-1} g_2 b_2, \dots, b_{N-1}^{-1} g_N b_N, e^{\lambda}(b_N^{-1})v),$$

$$b_i \in B, g_i \in P_{\mu_i}, v \in \mathbb{C}.$$

4. EQUIVARIANT K -THEORY

Let Z be a complex algebraic H -variety, we denote by $Z^H \subset Z$ the set of fixed points of the action of H on Z .

We denote by $K^0(H, Z)$ the Grothendieck group of H -equivariant complex vector bundles of finite rank over Z . The tensor product of vector bundles defines a product on $K^0(H, Z)$. Since $K^0(H, \text{point}) \simeq R[H]$, where $R[H] = \mathbb{Z}[X(H)]$ is the representation ring of the torus H , we get a $R[H]$ -algebra structure on $K^0(H, Z)$.

For all H -equivariant algebraic maps $g : Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$ we denote by $g^* : K^0(H, Z_2) \rightarrow K^0(H, Z_1)$ the morphism of $R[H]$ -algebras defined by pulling back vector bundles. In particular the inclusion $Z^H \subset Z$ gives a morphism $i_H^* : K^0(H, Z) \rightarrow K^0(H, Z^H)$ called restriction to fixed points. If the set of fixed points Z^H is finite, $K^0(H, Z^H)$ can be identified with $F(Z^H; R[H])$ the $R[H]$ -algebra of all maps $f : Z^H \mapsto R[H]$ and we get a morphism $i_H^* : K^0(H, Z) \rightarrow F(Z^H; R[H])$. Moreover if $K^0(H, Z)$ is a free $R[H]$ -module, then the morphism i_H^* is injective. This is an easy consequence of the localization theorem (see [2] section 5.10).

If we assume that Z is a complex projective smooth H -variety, then $K^0(H, Z)$ is isomorphic to $K_0(H, Z)$ the Grothendieck group of H -equivariant coherent sheaves on Z (see [2] chapter 5). In this case, we identify these two groups and we denote them by $K(H, Z)$.

For all proper H -equivariant morphisms $g : Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$, we denote by $g_* : K_0(H, Z_1) \rightarrow K_0(H, Z_2)$ the direct image morphism. For all H -equivariant subvarieties $Z' \subset Z$, we denote by $[\mathcal{O}_{Z'}]^H \in K_0(H, Z)$ the class of $i_*(\mathcal{O}_{Z'})$ where $\mathcal{O}_{Z'}$ is the structure sheaf of Z' and i is the inclusion of Z' in Z .

5. K -THEORY OF BOTT-SAMELSON VARIETIES

We use the notation of Section 3. Let N be a positive integer, and let $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_N$ be a sequence of N simple roots. Let Γ be the Bott-Samelson variety $\Gamma = \Gamma(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_N)$. For $1 \leq k \leq N$, we denote $\Gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ by Γ^k . By convention, $\Gamma^0 = \{\text{point}\}$. For $1 \leq k \leq N$, let π_k be the projection $\Gamma^k \rightarrow \Gamma^{k-1}$ defined in Section 3.3.

We denote by $\Gamma^k = \coprod_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \Gamma_\epsilon^k$ the cell decomposition defined in Section 3.2. For all $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^k$, let denote by $\overline{\Gamma}_\epsilon^k$ the closure of Γ_ϵ^k in Γ^k .

5.1. A basis of the K -theory of Bott-Samelson varieties. For all integers $1 \leq k \leq N$, Γ^k is a complex projective smooth H -variety, and then we denote by $K(H, \Gamma^k)$ its H -equivariant K -theory.

For all $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^k$, we set $\mathcal{O}_{k,\epsilon}^H = [\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\Gamma}_\epsilon^k}]^H \in K(H, \Gamma^k)$, and for $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\mathcal{O}_{k,i}^H = \mathcal{O}_{k,[i]}^H$, where for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $[i]_j = 1 - \delta_{i,j}$.

Since $\Gamma^k = \coprod_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} \Gamma_\epsilon^k$ is a cell decomposition of Γ^k , the family $\{\mathcal{O}_{k,\epsilon}^H\}_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^k}$ is a $R[H]$ -basis of the module $K(H, \Gamma^k)$. Moreover, $(\Gamma^k)^H$ is finite and isomorphic to $\{0,1\}^k$. Thus we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.

- (i) *The H -equivariant K -theory of $(\Gamma^k)^H$ can be identified with $F(\{0,1\}^k; R[H])$.*
- (ii) $K(H, \Gamma^k) = \oplus_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} R[H] \mathcal{O}_{k,\epsilon}^H$.
- (iii) *The restriction to fixed points $i_H^* : K(H, \Gamma^k) \rightarrow F(\{0,1\}^k; R[H])$ is injective.*

For all integers $1 \leq k \leq N$ and all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^k$ the line bundle over Γ^k defined in Section 3.4 and by $[\mathcal{L}_\lambda^k]^H$ its class in $K(H, \Gamma^k)$. For $k = 0$, $[\mathcal{L}_\lambda^0]^H = e^\lambda \in R[H] \simeq K(H, \text{point})$.

We will decompose $[\mathcal{L}_\lambda^k]^H$ in the $R[H]$ -basis $\{\mathcal{O}_{k,\epsilon}^H\}_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^k}$. For this we need restrictions to fixed points.

5.2. Restrictions to fixed points.

Proposition 3. *For all integer $1 \leq k \leq N$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$ and $(\epsilon, \epsilon') \in (\{0,1\}^k)^2$,*

$$i_H^*([\mathcal{L}_\lambda^k]^H)(\epsilon) = e^{v(\epsilon)\lambda},$$

$$i_H^*(\mathcal{O}_{k,\epsilon'}^H)(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k, \epsilon'_i = 0} (1 - e^{-\alpha_i(\epsilon)}) & \text{if } \epsilon \leq \epsilon', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first relation is obvious by definition of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^k$.

Let us prove the second relation.

If $\epsilon \not\leq \epsilon'$, the fixed point $\epsilon \notin \bar{\Gamma}_{\epsilon'}^k$, and then by the localization theorem,

$$i_H^*(\mathcal{O}_{k,\epsilon'}^H)(\epsilon) = 0.$$

If $\epsilon \leq \epsilon'$, $\epsilon \in \bar{\Gamma}_{\epsilon'}^k$. Since $\bar{\Gamma}_{\epsilon'}^k$ and Γ^k are smooth, we can use the self-intersection formula (see [2] proposition 5.4.10) to get $i_H^*(\mathcal{O}_{k,\epsilon'}^H)(\epsilon) = i_H^*(\lambda(T_{\bar{\Gamma}_{\epsilon'}^k}^* \Gamma^k))(\epsilon)$, where $T_{\bar{\Gamma}_{\epsilon'}^k}^* \Gamma^k$ is the normal bundle of $\bar{\Gamma}_{\epsilon'}^k$ in Γ^k and for all vector bundles V , $\lambda(V) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \dim(V)} (-1)^i \Lambda^i(V)$.

Then Lemma 1 and the relation $\lambda(V_1 \oplus V_2) = \lambda(V_1) \otimes \lambda(V_2)$ give us

$$i_H^*(\mathcal{O}_{k,\epsilon'}^H)(\epsilon) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k, \epsilon'_i = 0} (1 - e^{-\alpha_i(\epsilon)}).$$

□

Since i_H^* is injective, we deduce the following formula.

Corollary 1. *For all integers $1 \leq k \leq N$ and all $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^k$,*

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{O}_{k,\epsilon}^H = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k, \epsilon_i = 0} \mathcal{O}_{k,i}^H.$$

Remark 1. This corollary is also a consequence of the fact that

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\epsilon}^k = \bigcap_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k, \\ \epsilon'_i = 0}} \bar{\Gamma}_{[i]}^k$$

is a transversal intersection.

5.3. Decomposition of line bundles.

Theorem 1. *For all integers $1 \leq k \leq N$, and all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$,*

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^k]^H = \pi_k^*([\mathcal{L}_{s_{\mu_k}\lambda}^{k-1}]^H) + \mathcal{O}_{k,k}^H \pi_k^*([\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu_k}^{k-1}]^H),$$

where

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu_k}^{k-1}]^H = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda(\mu_k^{\vee}) = 0, \\ [\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{k-1}]^H + [\mathcal{L}_{\lambda-\mu_k}^{k-1}]^H + \dots + [\mathcal{L}_{\lambda-(\lambda(\mu_k^{\vee})-1)\mu_k}^{k-1}]^H & \text{if } \lambda(\mu_k^{\vee}) > 0, \\ -[\mathcal{L}_{\lambda+\mu_k}^{k-1}]^H - \dots - [\mathcal{L}_{\lambda-\lambda(\mu_k^{\vee})\mu_k}^{k-1}]^H & \text{if } \lambda(\mu_k^{\vee}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. To prove this theorem, we check these relations after restriction to fixed points.

Let ϵ be an element of $\{0, 1\}^k$. We denote by $\bar{\epsilon}$ the element of $\{0, 1\}^{k-1}$ corresponding to the fixed point $\pi_k(\epsilon)$ in Γ^{k-1} (by convention $\{0, 1\}^0 = \{0\}$, and $v(0) = 1 \in W$).

If we use Proposition 3 for Γ^k and Γ^{k-1} , we find :

$$\begin{aligned} i_H^*([\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^k]^H)(\epsilon) &= e^{v(\epsilon)\lambda}, \\ i_H^*(\pi_k^*([\mathcal{L}_{s_{\mu_k}\lambda}^{k-1}]^H) + \mathcal{O}_{k,k}^H \pi_k^*([\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu_k}^{k-1}]^H))(\epsilon) &= \\ &= e^{v(\bar{\epsilon})s_{\mu_k}\lambda} + \delta_{\epsilon_k,0}(1 - e^{-v(\epsilon)\mu_k})(i_H^*([\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu_k}^{k-1}]^H))(\bar{\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

If $\epsilon_k = 1$, we have to check $e^{v(\epsilon)\lambda} = e^{v(\bar{\epsilon})s_{\mu_k}\lambda}$. This is obvious since $v(\epsilon) = v(\bar{\epsilon})s_{\mu_k}$.

If $\epsilon_k = 0$, $v(\epsilon) = v(\bar{\epsilon})$ and thus we have to check

$$e^{\lambda} = \begin{cases} e^{s_{\mu_k}\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda(\mu_k^{\vee}) = 0, \\ e^{s_{\mu_k}\lambda} + (1 - e^{-\mu_k})[e^{\lambda} + e^{\lambda-\mu_k} + \dots + e^{\lambda-(\lambda(\mu_k^{\vee})-1)\mu_k}] & \text{if } \lambda(\mu_k^{\vee}) > 0, \\ e^{s_{\mu_k}\lambda} - (1 - e^{-\mu_k})[e^{\lambda+\mu_k} + \dots + e^{\lambda-\lambda(\mu_k^{\vee})\mu_k}] & \text{if } \lambda(\mu_k^{\vee}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

These relations hold since $s_{\mu_k}\lambda = \lambda - \lambda(\mu_k^\vee)\mu_k$. $\square$

Definition 1. Let β be a simple root, we define two $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear maps T_β^0 and T_β^1 from $R[H]$ to $R[H]$ by

$$T_\beta^1(e^\lambda) = e^{s_\beta\lambda},$$

$$T_\beta^0(e^\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda(\beta^\vee) = 0, \\ e^\lambda + e^{\lambda-\beta} + \dots + e^{\lambda-(\lambda(\beta^\vee)-1)\beta} & \text{if } \lambda(\beta^\vee) > 0, \\ -e^{\lambda+\beta} - \dots - e^{\lambda-\lambda(\beta^\vee)\beta} & \text{if } \lambda(\beta^\vee) < 0, \end{cases}$$

for all characters $e^\lambda \in X(H)$.

Remark 2. The operators T_β^0 are called Demazure operators. Such operators were first defined by Demazure in [3].

Theorem 2. For all integers $1 \leq k \leq N$ and all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$,

$$[\mathcal{L}_\lambda^k]^H = \sum_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^k} R_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k}^{\lambda, \epsilon} \mathcal{O}_{k, \epsilon}^H,$$

where $R_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k}^{\lambda, \epsilon} = T_{\mu_1}^{\epsilon_1} T_{\mu_2}^{\epsilon_2} \dots T_{\mu_k}^{\epsilon_k}(e^\lambda)$.

Proof. We prove this theorem by induction on k .

For $k = 1$, the theorem is a consequence of Theorem 1 in the case $k = 1$ and of the fact that $[\mathcal{L}_\lambda^0]^H = e^\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$.

We assume that the relation is proved for $k-1$ ($1 \leq k-1 \leq N-1$) and for all weights $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$.

We assume for example that $\lambda(\mu_k^\vee) > 0$. Then by Theorem 1, we get

$$[\mathcal{L}_\lambda^k]^H = \sum_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}} R_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{k-1}}^{s_{\mu_k}\lambda, \epsilon} \pi_k^*(\mathcal{O}_{k-1, \epsilon}^H) + \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda(\mu_k^\vee)-1} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}} \mathcal{O}_{k, k}^H R_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{k-1}}^{\lambda-j\mu_k, \epsilon} \pi_k^*(\mathcal{O}_{k-1, \epsilon}^H).$$

Since π_k is a smooth H -equivariant morphism between smooth H -varieties, for all $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}$,

$$\pi_k^*(\mathcal{O}_{k-1, \epsilon}^H) = [\mathcal{O}_{\pi_k^{-1}(\overline{\Gamma}_\epsilon^{k-1})}]^H = \mathcal{O}_{k, \tilde{\epsilon}^1}^H,$$

where $\tilde{\epsilon}^1 = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{k-1}, 1) \in \{0,1\}^k$. Moreover by Corollary 1,

$$\mathcal{O}_{k, k}^H \pi_k^*(\mathcal{O}_{k-1, \epsilon}^H) = \mathcal{O}_{k, k}^H \mathcal{O}_{k, \tilde{\epsilon}^1}^H = \mathcal{O}_{k, \tilde{\epsilon}^0}^H,$$

where $\tilde{\epsilon}^0 = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{k-1}, 0) \in \{0,1\}^k$.

Then we get

$$[\mathcal{L}_\lambda^k]^H = \sum_{\substack{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^k \\ \epsilon_k = 1}} R_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{k-1}}^{s_{\mu_k}\lambda, \epsilon} \mathcal{O}_{k, \epsilon}^H + \sum_{\substack{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^k \\ \epsilon_k = 0}} \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda(\mu_k^\vee)-1} R_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{k-1}}^{\lambda-j\mu_k, \epsilon} \mathcal{O}_{k, \epsilon}^H.$$

We obtain the statement since by definition

$$R_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k}^{\lambda, \epsilon} = \begin{cases} R_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{k-1}}^{s_{\mu_k}\lambda, \epsilon} & \text{if } \epsilon_k = 1, \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda(\mu_k^\vee)-1} R_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{k-1}}^{\lambda-j\mu_k, \epsilon} & \text{if } \epsilon_k = 0. \end{cases}$$

The argument works in the same way in the cases $\lambda(\mu_k^\vee) = 0$ and $\lambda(\mu_k^\vee) < 0$. $\square$

Example 1. In type A_2 ($G = SL(3, \mathbb{C})$), we decompose $[\mathcal{L}_{\rho_1}^\Gamma]^H$ in $K(H, \Gamma)$ where $\Gamma = \Gamma(\alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

Since $\rho_1(\alpha_2^\vee) = 0$, we get

$$T_{\alpha_2}^0(e^{\rho_1}) = 0 \text{ and } T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_1}) = e^{\rho_1}.$$

Since $\rho_1(\alpha_1^\vee) = 1$, we find

$$T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_1}) = e^{\rho_1} \text{ and } T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_1}) = e^{\rho_1 - \alpha_1} = e^{-\rho_1 + \rho_2},$$

since $\alpha_1 = 2\rho_1 - \rho_2$.

Since $\rho_1(\alpha_2^\vee) = 0$ and $(-\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\alpha_2^\vee) = 1$, we find

$$T_{\alpha_2}^0 T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_1}) = 0, \quad T_{\alpha_2}^1 T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_1}) = e^{\rho_1},$$

$$T_{\alpha_2}^0 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_1}) = e^{-\rho_1 + \rho_2}, \quad T_{\alpha_2}^1 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_1}) = e^{-\rho_1 + \rho_2 - \alpha_2} = e^{-\rho_2},$$

since $\alpha_2 = -\rho_1 + 2\rho_2$.

Then Theorem 2 gives us the following relation in $K(H, \Gamma)$

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\rho_1}^\Gamma]^H = e^{-\rho_2} \mathcal{O}_{3,(1,1,1)}^H + e^{-\rho_1 + \rho_2} \mathcal{O}_{3,(0,1,1)}^H + e^{\rho_1} \mathcal{O}_{3,(1,0,1)}^H.$$

Example 2. In the case G_2 , we decompose $[\mathcal{L}_{\rho_2}^\Gamma]^H$ in $K(H, \Gamma)$ where we take $\Gamma = \Gamma(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

Since $\rho_2 = 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$, and $\rho_2(\alpha_2^\vee) = 1$, we get

$$T_{\alpha_2}^0(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} \text{ and } T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}.$$

Since $(3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)(\alpha_1^\vee) = 0$, and $(3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\alpha_1^\vee) = 3$, we find

$$T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^0(e^{\rho_2}) = 0, \quad T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^0(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2},$$

$$T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \text{ and } T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{\alpha_2}.$$

Since $(3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)(\alpha_2^\vee) = 1$, $(3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\alpha_2^\vee) = -1$, $(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\alpha_2^\vee) = 0$, $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\alpha_2^\vee) = 1$ and $\alpha_2(\alpha_2^\vee) = 2$, we find

$$T_{\alpha_2}^0 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^0(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2}, \quad T_{\alpha_2}^1 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^0(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2},$$

$$T_{\alpha_2}^0 T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = -e^{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \quad T_{\alpha_2}^1 T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} + e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1},$$

$$T_{\alpha_2}^0 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{\alpha_2} + 1, \quad T_{\alpha_2}^1 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{-\alpha_2}.$$

Since $(3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)(\alpha_1^\vee) = 0$, $(3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\alpha_1^\vee) = 3$, $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\alpha_1^\vee) = -1$, $(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\alpha_1^\vee) = 1$, $\alpha_1(\alpha_1^\vee) = 2$, and $\alpha_2(\alpha_1^\vee) = -3$, we find

$$T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^0 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^0(e^{\rho_2}) = 0, \quad T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^0 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^0(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2},$$

$$T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^0(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \quad T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^0(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{\alpha_2},$$

$$T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^0 T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = -e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \quad T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^0 T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = -e^{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} + e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2},$$

$$T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1 T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1 + 1}, \quad T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1 T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{-\alpha_1},$$

$$T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^0 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = -e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} - e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} - e^{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \quad T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^0 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + 1,$$

$$T_{\alpha_1}^0 T_{\alpha_2}^1 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{-\alpha_2} + e^{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} + e^{-2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}, \quad T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1 T_{\alpha_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2}^1(e^{\rho_2}) = e^{-3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}.$$

Then Theorem 2 gives us the following relation in $K(H, \Gamma)$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_{\rho_2}^\Gamma]^H &= e^{-3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \mathcal{O}_{4,(1,1,1,1)}^H + (e^{-\alpha_2} + e^{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} + e^{-2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}) \mathcal{O}_{4,(0,1,1,1)}^H \\ &\quad + (e^{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + 1) \mathcal{O}_{4,(1,0,1,1)}^H + (-e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} - e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} - e^{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}) \mathcal{O}_{4,(0,0,1,1)}^H \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (e^{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} + e^{-\alpha_1}) \mathcal{O}_{4,(1,1,0,1)}^H + (e^{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1} + 1) \mathcal{O}_{4,(0,1,0,1)}^H \\
& + (-e^{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2} + e^{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}) \mathcal{O}_{4,(1,0,0,1)}^H - e^{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \mathcal{O}_{4,(0,0,0,1)}^H \\
& + e^{\alpha_2} \mathcal{O}_{4,(1,1,1,0)}^H + (e^{3\alpha_1+\alpha_2} + e^{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}) \mathcal{O}_{4,(0,1,1,0)}^H + e^{3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2} \mathcal{O}_{4,(1,0,1,0)}^H.
\end{aligned}$$

6. EQUIVARIANT K -THEORY OF FLAG VARIETIES

6.1. Definitions. Since X is a complex irreducible smooth H -variety, we denote by $K(H, X)$ its H -equivariant K -theory.

For $w \in W$, we set $\mathcal{O}_w^H = [\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_w}]^H \in K(H, X)$.

Since $X = \coprod_{w \in W} X_w$ is a cell decomposition of X , the family $\{\mathcal{O}_w^H\}_{w \in W}$ is a $R[H]$ -basis of the module $K(H, X)$. Moreover, X^H is finite and isomorphic to W . Thus we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.

- (i) *The H -equivariant K -theory of X^H can be identified with $F(W; R[H])$.*
- (ii) *$K(H, X) = \oplus_{w \in W} R[H] \mathcal{O}_w^H$.*
- (iii) *The restriction to fixed points $i_H^* : K(H, X) \rightarrow F(W; R[H])$ is injective.*

For all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^X$ the B -equivariant line bundle over X defined as the space of orbits of B acting on $G \times \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(g, v)b = (gb, e^{\lambda}(b^{-1})v), b \in B, g \in G, v \in \mathbb{C}.$$

6.2. Link with Bott-Samelson varieties. Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N$ be a sequence of N simple roots. We set $\Gamma = \Gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N)$ and we define an H -equivariant map g from Γ to X by multiplication

$$g([g_1, \dots, g_N]) = g_1 \times \dots \times g_N [B].$$

For all $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^N$, we define

$$\underline{v}(\epsilon) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq N, \\ \epsilon_j = 1}} s_{\mu_j} \in \underline{W}.$$

For all $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^N$, we set $\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon}^H = \mathcal{O}_{N, \epsilon}^H \in K(H, \Gamma)$ (see Section 5.1). We have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *For all $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^N$,*

$$g_*(\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon}^H) = \mathcal{O}_{T^{-1}(\underline{v}(\epsilon))}^H,$$

where T is defined in Section 2.3.

Proof. By Theorem 8.1.13 and Corollary 8.2.3 of [8], the image of g is a Schubert variety $\overline{X}_w$, where $w \in W$, and $g_*(\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon}^H) = \mathcal{O}_w^H$. By Lemma 2.3 of [12], $w = T^{-1}(\underline{v}(\epsilon))$. □

Example 3. In the case A_2 , if we take $\Gamma = \Gamma(\alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, we get the following relations :

$$\begin{aligned} g_*(\mathcal{O}_{(0,0,0)}^H) &= \mathcal{O}_1^H \\ g_*(\mathcal{O}_{(0,0,1)}^H) &= \mathcal{O}_{s_2}^H \\ g_*(\mathcal{O}_{(0,1,0)}^H) &= \mathcal{O}_{s_1}^H \\ g_*(\mathcal{O}_{(1,0,0)}^H) &= \mathcal{O}_{s_2}^H \\ g_*(\mathcal{O}_{(1,0,1)}^H) &= \mathcal{O}_{s_2}^H \\ g_*(\mathcal{O}_{(0,1,1)}^H) &= \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2}^H \\ g_*(\mathcal{O}_{(1,1,0)}^H) &= \mathcal{O}_{s_2 s_1}^H \\ g_*(\mathcal{O}_{(1,1,1)}^H) &= \mathcal{O}_{s_2 s_1 s_2}^H. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. Let $w = s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_N}$ be a reduced decomposition of $w \in W$ ($N=l(w)$). For all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$,

$$g_*([\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\Gamma}]^H) = [\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^X]^H \times \mathcal{O}_w^H.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}_{(1)}^H = 1 \in K(H, \Gamma)$ where $(1) = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \{0, 1\}^N$, and for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$, $g_*([\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^X]^H) = [\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\Gamma}]^H$, we have

$$g_*([\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{\Gamma}]^H) = g_*(g_*([\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^X]^H) \times \mathcal{O}_{(1)}^H) = [\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^X]^H \times g_*(\mathcal{O}_{(1)}^H) = [\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^X]^H \times \mathcal{O}_w^H,$$

where the last equality is a consequence of Theorem 3. $\square$

6.3. A Chevalley formula. Theorems 2, 3 and Lemma 2 give us the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $w = s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_N}$ be a reduced decomposition of $w \in W$. For all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$,

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^X]^H \times \mathcal{O}_w^H = \sum_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^N} R_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N}^{\lambda, \epsilon} \mathcal{O}_{T^{-1}(\underline{v}(\epsilon))}^H.$$

Example 4. In the case A_2 , if we take $w = s_2 s_1 s_2$ and $\lambda = \rho_1$, Examples 1, 3 and Theorem 4 give us the following relation in $K(H, X)$

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\rho_1}^X]^H \times \mathcal{O}_{s_2 s_1 s_2}^H = \mathcal{L}_{\rho_1}^X = e^{-\rho_2} \mathcal{O}_{s_2 s_1 s_2}^H + e^{-\rho_1 + \rho_2} \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2}^H + e^{\rho_1} \mathcal{O}_{s_2}^H.$$

Example 5. In the case G_2 , if we take $w = s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2$ and $\lambda = \rho_2$, Example 2 and Theorem 4 give us the following relation in $K(H, X)$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_{\rho_2}^X]^H \times \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2}^H &= e^{-3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2}^H + (e^{-\alpha_2} + e^{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} + e^{-2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}) \mathcal{O}_{s_2 s_1 s_2}^H \\ &\quad + (e^{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + 1) \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2}^H + (-e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} - e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} - e^{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}) \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2}^H \\ &\quad + (e^{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{-\alpha_1}) \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2}^H + (e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1} + 1) \mathcal{O}_{s_2}^H \\ &\quad + (-e^{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} + e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}) \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2}^H - e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \mathcal{O}_{s_2}^H \\ &\quad + e^{\alpha_2} \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2 s_1}^H + (e^{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}) \mathcal{O}_{s_2 s_1}^H + e^{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} \mathcal{O}_{s_1}^H \\ &= e^{-3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2}^H + (e^{-\alpha_2} + e^{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} + e^{-2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}) \mathcal{O}_{s_2 s_1 s_2}^H \\ &\quad + e^{\alpha_2} \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2 s_1}^H + (e^{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + e^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}) \mathcal{O}_{s_2 s_1}^H + (e^{-\alpha_1} + 1) \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2}^H \\ &\quad + (e^{\alpha_1} + 1) \mathcal{O}_{s_2}^H + e^{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} \mathcal{O}_{s_1}^H. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3. Since ρ_2 is a dominant weight (i.e. $\rho_2(\alpha_i^\vee) \geq 0$ for all simple roots α_i), we know that we must find positive coefficients (i.e. a linear combination of characters with positive coefficients, see [10]). Unfortunately, our formula is not positive. In this example, we see that we can find negative terms and then cancellations can occur. The formulas given by Pittie and Ram in [11] and Littelmann and Seshadri in [9] are positive.

7. ORDINARY K -THEORY

We denote by ψ the forgetful map $K(H, X) \rightarrow K(X)$, where $K(X) \simeq K^0(X) \simeq K_0(X)$ is the ordinary K -theory of X , and by $ev : R[H] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map defined by

$$ev(e^\alpha) = 1 \text{ for all character } e^\alpha \in X(H).$$

For all $w \in W$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_w \in K(X)$ the class of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_w}$ in $K(X)$, and for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$, we set $[\mathcal{L}_\lambda^X] = \psi([\mathcal{L}_\lambda^X]^H) \in K(X)$. Since $\psi(\mathcal{O}_w^H) = \mathcal{O}_w$, $\psi(e^\alpha) = ev(e^\alpha)$, and ψ is a ring homomorphism, Theorem 4 gives us the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let $w = s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_N}$ be a reduced decomposition of $w \in W$. For all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$,*

$$[\mathcal{L}_\lambda^X] \times \mathcal{O}_w = \sum_{\epsilon \in \{0,1\}^N} ev(R_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N}^{\lambda, \epsilon}) \mathcal{O}_{T^{-1}(\underline{\epsilon})}.$$

Example 6. In the case A_2 , if we take $w = s_2 s_1 s_2$ and $\lambda = \rho_1$, Example 4 and Theorem 5 give us the following relation in $K(X)$

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\rho_1}^X] \times \mathcal{O}_{s_2 s_1 s_2} = [\mathcal{L}_{\rho_1}^X] = \mathcal{O}_{s_2 s_1 s_2} + \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2} + \mathcal{O}_{s_2}.$$

Example 7. In the case G_2 , if we take $w = s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2$ and $\lambda = \rho_2$, Example 5 and Theorem 5 give us the following relation in $K(X)$

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\rho_2}^X] \times \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2} = \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2} + 3\mathcal{O}_{s_2 s_1 s_2} + \mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2 s_1} + 3\mathcal{O}_{s_2 s_1} + 2\mathcal{O}_{s_1 s_2} + 2\mathcal{O}_{s_2} + \mathcal{O}_{s_1}.$$

This example was computed by Pittie and Ram in [11] by using LS-paths.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Chevalley, *Sur les décompositions cellulaires des espaces G/B* , Algebraic groups and their generalizations: classical methods (University Park, PA, 1991), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 1–23.
- [2] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1997.
- [3] Michel Demazure, *Désingularisation des variétés de Schubert généralisées*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **7** (1974), 53–88, Collection of articles dedicated to Henri Cartan on the occasion of his 70th birthday, I.
- [4] Haibao Duan, *Multiplicative rule in the Grothendieck cohomology of a flag variety*, Math.AG/0411588 (2004).
- [5] ———, *Multiplicative rule of Schubert class*, Invent. Math. **159** (2005), no. 2, 407–436.
- [6] William Fulton and Alain Lascoux, *A Pieri formula in the Grothendieck ring of a flag bundle*, Duke Mathematical Journal **76** (1994), no. 3, 711–729.
- [7] Bertram Kostant and Shrawan Kumar, *The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody group G* , Adv. in Math. **62** (1986), no. 3, 187–237.
- [8] Shrawan Kumar, *Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 204, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [9] P. Littelmann and C. S. Seshadri, *A Pieri-Chevalley type formula for $K(G/B)$ and standard monomial theory*, Studies in memory of Issai Schur (Chevaleret/Rehovot, 2000), Progr. Math., vol. 210, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003, pp. 155–176.
- [10] Olivier Mathieu, *Positivity of some intersections in $K_0(G/B)$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **152** (2000), no. 1-3, 231–243, Commutative algebra, homological algebra and representation theory (Catania/Genoa/Rome, 1998).

- [11] Harsh Pittie and Arun Ram, *A Pieri-Chevalley formula in the K -theory of a G/B -bundle*, Electronic Research Announcements of the American Mathematical Society **5** (1999), 102–107 (electronic).
- [12] Matthieu Willems, *Cohomologie et K -théorie équivariantes des variétés de Bott-Samelson et des variétés de drapeaux*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **132** (2004), no. 4, 569–589.
- [13] ———, *Cohomologie équivariante des tours de Bott et calcul de Schubert équivariant*, Journal de l’Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu **5** (2006), no. 1, 125–159.
- [14] ———, *K -théorie équivariante des tours de Bott. Application à la structure multiplicative de la K -théorie équivariante des variétés de drapeaux*, Duke Mathematical Journal (à paraître).

UNIVERSITÉ DE CERGY-PONTOISE, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, SITE DE SAINT-MARTIN,
2 RUE ADOLPHE CHAUVIN, 95302 CERGY-PONTOISE CEDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: `matthieu.willems@polytechnique.org`